Fee Processing

(For Petitions Use Only)

App. No./Pat No.] [Check Amt. #1		Fee Code	Fee Amt.	Paper#	
6600899					,		
Atty Docket No./Cust. No] `						
	1 [Check	Amt. #2				
Paper Rec'd Date	1						
6/24/2011] `				•		
Form Completed] [Depos	sit Acct.				
7/25/2011] [
		Credit Card [(See attached)				
		Refund [(PTO Employee - ple and amount(s) to be	(See attached) ase circle the code(s) refunded/credited)				
Change App No./Pat. No.		From		То			
	_						
Change Fee Code	×	From Code	Amount	To Code	Amount	Paper #	
		1599	\$1,240	1559	\$1,240		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				*			
,			·		·		
Special Instructions:							
				<u>-</u>			
	-						
tj							
Initials (PTO Employee)		Initiale /	Contractor)	Date	Processed		

RECEIVED (CENTRAL FAX CENTER) JUN 2 4 2011

2011 JUN 28 PM 4: 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		RECEIVED		
In re Application of:	Art Unit:	Lun 1 2 2011		
RADOMSKY	Conf. No. 4286	'JUL 1 1 2011 OFFICE OF PETITIONS		
Patent No. 6,600,899	Washington, D.C.			
Issued: July 29, 2003	June 9, 2011.			
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR)) Atty. Docket: RADOMSKY2			

REQUEST FOR SMALL ENTITY STATUS ERROR TO BE EXCUSED

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In the present application, status as a small entity was established in good faith and fees as a small entity were paid in good faith. It has recently been discovered that, between the dates of payment of the first and second maintenance fees in this case, in approximately May 2008, patentee became a large entity. Accordingly, the second maintenance fee was paid as a small entity in error. Applicant hereby withdraws its claim to small entity status for this patent. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.28(c), it is requested that this error be excused as the present communication is in compliance with the separate submission and itemization requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of 37 C.F.R. §1.28.

The following itemization of the total deficiency payment is provided:

Type of Fcc	Current Fee for non- small entity	Small Entity actually paid	Date of request	Deficiency owed amount	
2 ND Maintenance Fee	\$2,480.00	\$1,240.00	10/11/2010	\$1,240.00	٠
		•	Total owed:	<u>\$1,240,00</u>	

JUN. 24. 2011 11:57AM

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

FNO. 3826 P. 3

In re USP 6,600,899

RECEIPTS ACCOUNTING DIVISION

2011 JUN 28 PM 4: 11

On December 21, 2010, a petition was filed stating that the second maintenance fee in this case was paid as a small entity in error and proffering the fee deficiency. The bottom of that document indicates that the USPTO charged \$1,240 to petitioner's credit card on December 21, 2010. However, on January 31, 2011, this petition was dismissed as it was not properly signed by the purported assignee, as it did not meet all of the regulations with respect thereto. The Patent Bibliographic Data page of the PrivatePAIR website shows that the entity for this case is still small. If the deficiency of \$1,240 has already been paid for the second maintenance fee in this case, then please disregard the attached form PTO-2038 and consider the previous payment as satisfying the requirement for payment of the fee deficiency. On the assumption that the deficiency has not been previously charged (or if previously charged, it was refunded), attached hereto is a form PTO-2038 authorizing the additional charges of \$1,240.00, which satisfies the deficiency payment requirement as per the above itemization. If necessary, refund of the fee of \$1,240 previously paid with the denied petition of December 21, 2010, is respectfully requested for the reasons discussed above.

As all of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.28(c) have been complied with, it is requested that this deficiency payment be accepted and that the errors in small entity status previously made be excused.

Respectfully submitted, BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By /rlb/
Roger L. Browdy
Registration No. 25,618

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528